

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,564	01/14/2002	Nobuyuki Koike	3169.66103	4686
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			AU, SCOTT D	
Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr.			2635	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED, 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/047,564	KOIKE, NOBUYUKI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Scott Au	2635			

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Referring to claims 43-48, the claims add the limitation that wherein said key information retaining device is an electronic key that unlocks a predetermined area raises new issue that requires further consideration. The new claims require further search. Therefore the amended claims will not be entered. Regarding Applicant note of IDS filed on March 19, 2003, there is no record indicating of an IDS was filed. Examiner considers IDS filed May 23, 2005. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,14,16-18,28,30-32 and 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8.
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5232005

13. 🔲 Other: ____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 6242005

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 16, paragraph 2, Applicant's argument that there is no motivation to combine the Desai with Bonder et al. and Cregger, not persuasive. Bonder et al. suggest a locking system with a separated key programming device (col. 5 lines 19-32), Cregger et al. suggest a locking system with a programmer device (301a) includes a pair of look- up table containing a listing of various identification numbers and encryption key codes for each lock (col. 5 lines 55-65) in order to allow the programming device to have record of the key code in case the key is lost or stolen. Bonder et al. suggest that the motor vehicle could be any type of motor vehicle such as truck, bus,motorcycle, boat, snowmobiles, etc. Moreover, the security system could be utilized to control and grant access to a secure area such as a building, room, vault, cabinet or grant access to a secure database or any type of secure system (col. 3 line 63 to col. 4 lines 5) and Desai discloses the vehicle control teaches code to the key/fob combination (col. 1 lines 50-57; see Figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to includes wireless communication of Desai in the security system of Bonder et al. in view of Cregger with the motivation for doing so would allow the wireless communication as an alternative way of communicating through physical contact.

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

manth of Mall